

NEW PARISH - ARBURY PARK	A=Arbury	
	K= Kings Meadows	
Comments		
It would have been helpful to have had some information about rateable values/council tax to help make a judgement. Without this additional information as far as boundaries are concerned, there seems no logic in retaining any of Impington Parish South of the A14: The A14 is a barrier to community feeling.	A+K+	
Am in total agreement with the proposal. Also agree to the CRC campus being contained within Milton Parish. Thinks the new parish should be created now.	A	
Given that the development of Arbury Park is still underway it is premature to consider forming a new parish there. If the proposal does go ahead it is vital for community cohesion that Kings Meadow residents are included in any new parish and are not left behind. Arbury Park and Kings Meadow have links with each other (some RSL tenants have transferred across to Arbury Park). Both communities have strong natural links with the City as recognised in the wider boundary review likely to eventually see both coming into the City boundaries.	A+K	
I am a Milton resident. I think it would be a good idea for Arbury Park to have its own parish council.	A	
I confirm my support for a separate parish council for Arbury Park.	A	
We feel that Arbury Park should become a parish of its own. We live in Impington and feel that we have little in common with the new residents of Arbury Parish which is very much an urban development and part of Cambridge City rather than part of our village, especially with the A14 as such an impassable barrier between "old" Impington and Arbury Park. Same feelings apply to the Meadows Estate. Both of these areas are geographically and spiritually part of Cambridge City North, not Impington.	A x 2	
The A14 now provides an obvious boundary between the City and the county. I cannot believe that there is anybody living in Kings Meadow or Arbury Camps who doesn't consider themselves a city dweller with no connection with Histon or Impington; or that anybody in Histon or Impington feels any connection with Kings Meadow or Arbury Camps. Why cannot any new parish encompass Kings Meadow, Arbury Camps and CRC and be part of the City of Cambridge	A+K/City	
I agree that Arbury Park should be in a new parish and not part of Impington. I also feel that this new parish should consist of all areas in Impington parish south of the A14 as otherwise the Kings Meadow development would be fairly detached from Impington.	A+K+	

<p>Arbury Park will, by its nature and in common with similar developments, settle into a slum area and crime hotspot with no similarities to either Impington or Milton. These latter parishes should be left to concentrate on their own governance. CRC should become part of Milton parish. The area of Impington parish within the corner formed by Histon Road and Kings Hedges Road should be transferred to the Arbury Park parish, this area being within the Impington parish is an anachronism and makes no sense. These changes will upset Impington parish but they should be grateful for the opportunity to avoid the inevitable problems with Arbury Park.</p>	<p>A+K?</p>	
<p>I agree with SCDC's proposal to create a new parish and council for Arbury Park. It is more appropriate for Arbury Park to have its own parish council. Separate response received: I support the idea of forming a new parish council now for Arbury Park.</p>	<p>A</p>	
<p>I approve of the plan to form a new parish at Arbury Park.</p>	<p>A</p>	
<p>I support the creation of a new parish and parish council for Arbury Park, at the earliest possible instance. The 2006 Government White Paper is wholeheartedly in support of the creation of new parishes and contains a presumption of creation. The City/SCDC review is an independent issue - and the City may be parished by the time it happens anyway.</p>	<p>A</p>	
<p>I'd like to record my wholehearted support for the proposals to create a new Parish for Arbury Park, and a new Parish Council as soon as possible.</p>	<p>A</p>	
<p>Do not see the point of the creation of a new parish at this time when boundary reviews are under action consideration. I do not know when this is likely to take effect, but there are no parish councils currently in Cambridge and the existence of just one would cause serious anomalies, not least since Cambridge already has area committees. So does SCDC actually want to do something like this even though it will not fit in the future, or is it expected that the parish council will abolish itself, or be abolished from above, when boundaries are redrawn? Or is Histon and Impington feeling so overwhelmed that they must be rid of Arbury Park asap? There seems to be an overwhelming case for not taking precipitate action.</p>	<p>Prem.</p>	
<p>I am in agreement with your newsletter received today, that having a separate parish for Arbury Park is the best option. As a resident of Impington for the last 25 years, I feel that we have little in common with the new development and think that we would both be served best by being independent.</p>	<p>A</p>	
<p>CRC should be in one Parish or the other, not split. I do not believe that Arbury Park should be part of the Impington Parish, it is too disjointed from the village life in Impington. I am also not sure if it should be in its own Parish either, due to the overheads a standalone parish brings. Has it been considered to merge Arbury Park with Kings Hedges? If it is not logical to merge Kings Hedges and Arbury Park, then yes make Arbury Park its own Parish.</p>	<p>A/A+K</p>	

<p>Thoroughly agree that Arbury Park should be a new parish, but fail to see why the area of Impington to the south of Arbury Road and to the east of Cambridge Road has not been included in the new parish and certainly not logically part of the predominant rural parish of Impington. The whole of the area to the south of the A14 and to the east of Cambridge Road should become part of Cambridge City Council and not be part of SCDC.</p>	<p>A+K</p>	
<p>We agree that it makes sense for Arbury Park to be a separate parish from Histon/Impington. It is part of the city of Cambridge, has a more urban flavour and its position the other side of the A14 means that its locationally disparate too. However, I cannot see why the part of Cambridge on the same side of Cambridge Road is not also part of that new parish. It too has an urban flavour.</p>	<p>A+K</p>	
<p>I applaud the proposed new parish of Arbury Park. The needs of a new estate are completely different from an established rural village, and the administration needs to reflect these differences. However, I feel that to place a few roads up the B1049 into Impington is quite ludicrous. They have no physical connection with the village and they have far more in common with the rest of the S Arbury estate. The most logical change of boundary is to keep Impington to the area north of the A14. This preserves the integrity of the village and makes administration simpler and more logical.</p>	<p>A+K+</p>	
<p>I strongly support your proposal for a new separate parish council for Arbury Park for all the reasons given. It is a new community where none existed before. It would be good to have a newly created council in place to focus and direct the developing identity that a council would give to the growing community. It seems logical that in time the city's boundary will be extended to the A14 and Arbury Park could then be assimilated to the city's structure.</p>	<p>A</p>	
<p>The A14 is a new natural boundary for the City of Cambridge so why don't we wait until future district boundary changes take place?</p>	<p>Prem.</p>	
<p>I would like to offer my support for the proposed changes to Parish Council boundaries and the formation of a new Parish Council for Arbury Park. I live in Impington (Percheron Close) and own a business with 20 staff, also operating in Histon.</p>	<p>A</p>	
<p>Kings Meadow should be included. The Parish Council should be representative of all residents. Before a Parish Council is created I would want to see consultation with all residents in the parish. Please clarify how the Parish Council could persist if the boundary changes and the area became part of the City?</p>	<p>A+K</p>	
<p>Makes sense to have a separate parish for Arbury Park. No objections to the proposed changes.</p>	<p>A</p>	

<p>While I entirely agree that Impington Parish Council has no logical connection with the new development on Arbury Camp by that same logic it has no connection with the Kings Meadow estate either. I don't see how you can justify separating one and retaining the other. Granted, the connection between the two estates is a little tenuous but at least they do share a border and aren't divided by the A14. I am anxious that this does not set a precedent in the negotiations over the city boundary review. There are currently no parish councils in the city, nor are there any plans to create any. Whether Arbury Camp stayed as a parish if it moved into the city is not an issue I'd care to give any assurances on one way or the other. Certainly it isn't a populous enough area to be a single ward of the city on its own. Given that, I really hesitate to say that creating the parish now is a good idea; if the timescales for a boundary review are as short as seem likely, you could simply end up throwing away the money invested in the democratic infrastructure.</p>		Prem. But A+K
<p>Motion: a) To support SCDC proposals for creation of a new parish to cover Arbury Park as per their published map; that creation to be as soon as practicable. B) That Impington PC "ringfences" that element of the precept from residents in Arbury Park less any attributable expenditure, to be transferred to the new Parish Council upon creation.</p>	A	
<p>I believe that to create a new parish for Arbury Park would be a good idea. Although Impington have done a tremendous job, I feel that its time that AP were able to have its own council which could serve the interests of the residents 100%. Although I realise a potential new parish would be short lived, with AP probably being brought into Cambridge City control in the future, I feel that if we are to grow as a community, a new parish would be hugely beneficial to the growth of the development.</p>	A	
<p>Submits support for an independent Arbury Park Parish Council.</p>	A	
<p>I am 110% in favour of an Arbury Park parish. It is important and sensible because it gives local residents control of their own decision making; it hugely simplifies the financial arrangements in place between Histon, Impington and Arbury Park; it will significantly reduce the now inappropriate burden on Impington Parish Council and it recognises the huge and unsustainable cultural differences between the established village of Impington and the new urban community of Arbury Park</p>	A	
<p>Please keep Arbury Park separate from Histon and Impington. This ugly development has nothing in common with our villages so should be treated as a separate area.</p>	A	
<p>As a member of Histon parish all is excellent. Why does the boundar dip to the right angle into Minerva Way? Is there a councillor who prefers to be in the new parish?</p>	?	

As residents of Impington Village we would support the creation of a new parish for Arbury Park. This is not a case of trying to 'get rid of them' but of creating a body that can properly serve their needs since these are so distinct from our own.	A x 2	
[<i>very lengthy email but in summary</i>] I am of the opinion that Arbury Park should be new parish on its own.	A	
This consultation if misconceived. The whole of the area south of the A14 should, in fact, be transferred to Cambridge City. The major part of South Cambs should be similarly transferred so that Cambridge can become a unitary authority similar in size to Peterborough. It could encompass new towns like Northstowe and Cambourne and settlements out to Bottisham and some of the Swaffhams. That would leave a rural area of England, parts of East Cambs and Huntingdon to be a rural unitary, perhaps centred on Ely.	Unitary	
This review and recommendation is premature at best. The statement that "Kings Meadow development ... Is not affected by the growth at Arbury Park" is misguided. The entire area is affected by the development, including as far as the East and West Chesterton and Castle wards, as well as the obvious impact on both Arbury and King's Hedges wards. Should the creation of the parish proceed, I feel it is imperative that the proposal must include at a minimum the Meadows and with the utmost effort, the area to be created in relation to the review mentioned above. Ideally, unless the County Council wish to forward the impression that it thrives on the creation and fostering of unnecessary bureaucratic procedures as a temporary measure, this consultation should be placed on hold until the completion of the boundary review above.	Prem. But A+K+	
[<i>very lengthy email but in summary</i>] in support of Arbury Park being a separate parish. Comments basically relate to facilities in Impington being used by those on the Arbury Park development etc.	A x 2	
In view of the fact that the boundary between South Cambridgeshire and Cambridge City is currently under discussion, it is not clear to my why this process has been initiated now. It seems, to say the least, premature. Secondly, should a new parish be created, I disagree with the idea that the Meadows Estate should remain in Impington Parish. The Meadows is directly adjacent to the Arbury Park but slightly further from Impington and both areas are isolated from the remainder of Impington by the A14. In practical terms just like Arbury Park, the Meadows is an extension of the Cambridge urban fringe, with two or three road exits lying inside the city boundary. The Meadow Estate's status within Impington parish is anomalous in exactly the same way as Arbury Park and there appears to be no sensible reason for a parish review to treat the two separately.	Prem. But A+K	
Supports Impington Parish Council in its belief that the new Arbury Park parish should be created now, based on the reasoning given in the consultation document.	A	

<p>My view is that all of Arbury Park should be taken out of Impington. It has different issues to Impington and needs to be dealt with as a separate parish. Additionally, Arbury Park is already getting a bad name for itself due to the local crime and I suspect the crime will increase due to its proximity to Kings Hedges and Arbury. Lets not have the name of the original area of Impington, and possibly the value of our houses going down, which could occur if Arbury Park is part of Impington.</p>	A	
<p>Expresses approval of the proposal to create a new parish for Arbury Park.</p>	A	
<p>The new Arbury Park development should not be part of the Impington Parish. However, the boundary changes need to go further. It would be far better if the Impington Parish no longer extended south of the A14. The proposed Arbury Park Parish could be extended to incorporate this land.</p>	A+K+	
<p>I fully endorse the creation of a new parish for Arbury Park - it should be created now.</p>	A	
<p>Urge deferral pending broader review of boundaries between City and District. The proposals if implemented would result in the main areas under consideration being brought into the City. It makes sense for any parish review to be based on revised boundaries rather than on present historic boundary. If the parish review goes ahead now you risk setting up parish arrangements that will need further review and amendment in light of revised boundaries. If SCDC feels compelled to press on, the review should anticipate the proposed boundary changes and create a parish that includes the Kings Meadows Estate as well as Arbury Park development. It does not make administrative sense to create a new parish based on the Arbury Park development whilst leaving Kings Meadows Estate as part of Impington. If the City District boundary is moved the Kings Meadows Estate will not remain part of Impington Parish Council. If it were to remain parished then there would be a need either for a new parish or for a belated merger with Arbury Park. It makes much more sense to include Kings Meadows Estate with Arbury Park now rather than later. Secondly we believe there are strong arguments</p>		Prem.But A+K
<p>in terms of community identity and cohesion in basing a new parish on Kings Meadows as well as Arbury Park. More account needs to be taken of the community of interest between Arbury Park and Kings Meadows Estate both represent growth of the urban fringe of Cambridge both are Cambridge facing they are in close proximity and are likely to share community facilities. Both are separated from Impington by the significant barrier of the A14.</p>		
<p>We agree it makes sense for Arbury Park to be a separate parish from Impington. It is part of the City, has a more urban flavour and is locationally disparate to. Cannot see why part of Cambridge on the same side of Cambridge Road is not also part of that new parish. It too has an urban flavour.</p>	A+K x2	

I am all in favour of Arbury Park being its own parish. As a new community our needs are different from those of individuals living in Impington and Milton. I believe we need to hold builders accountable and responsible for the area they are developing until it is fully lived in and has been turned over to the Council. I am a homeowner in Arbury.

A